



August 28, 2001

Ms. Amy L. Sims
Assistant City Attorney
City of Lubbock
P.O. Box 2000
Lubbock, Texas 79457

OR2001-3794

Dear Ms. Sims:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 151230.

The City of Lubbock (the "city") received a written request for certain information pertaining to an RFP issued by the city. Specifically, the requestor seeks 1) "a listing of all bidders, the prices submitted and evaluation criteria scores" and 2) "the awarded proposal for EAP services." You do not contend that the first category of information is excepted from public disclosure. We therefore assume the city has made this information available to the requestor. If it has not, it must do so at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302. You also do not contend that the second category of information is excepted from required public disclosure, but rather have sought a decision from this office pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, which allows governmental bodies to rely on third parties having a privacy or property interest in the information to submit their own arguments as to why the requested information should be withheld from the public.

This office did not receive any comments from the company whose records are at issue indicating that they wished to have their records withheld from the public. Consequently, this office has no basis on which to conclude that any of the information at issue is excepted from required public disclosure under the Public Information Act. Accordingly, we conclude that the city must release the requested information in its entirety.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael A. Pearle". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Michael" being more prominent than the last name "Pearle".

Michael A. Pearle
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MAP/RWP/seg

Ref: ID# 151230

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Rick Dielman
WAP
2525 Wallingwood Drive, Building 5
Austin, Texas 78746
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Rudy Arredondo
Employee Assistance Program
Southwest Institute for Addictive Diseases
Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center
3601 4th Street
Lubbock, Texas 79430
(w/o enclosures)